Case 1:04-cv-11340-DPW Document 21-20 Filed 07/31/2006 Page 1 of 3

Exhibit S

Page 1

VOL. I PAGES 1-124 EXHIBITS 1-20

HNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. NO.: 04-CV-11340DPW

STEPHEN KEEFE,

PLAINTIFF.

VS

LOCALS 805, INTERNATIONAL LONGSHOREMEN'S ASSOCIATION, AFL-CIO, ET. AL, DEFENDANTS.

DEPOSITION OF STEPHEN KEEFE, taken on behalf of the Defendants, pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Bernadette J. D'Alelio, Notary Public and Court Reporter within and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the Offices of Mullen & McGourty, 52 Temple Place, Boston, Massachusetts, on May 8, 2006, ... at 10:01 a.m., as follows:

TY

Page 50 1 BY MR. MAHONEY: documents, I'm going to exhaust my questioning 2 Q. Regardless of the date that you were today. Until I get a full response, I'm going 2 3 placed back on Gang 11, Mr. Keefe, after your 3 to suspend on that issue, just so that I can 4 suspension, had you been working out of Gang 11 4 ascertain that this is the only other income. 5 since that date? 5 MR. LATHROP: I will tell you in 6 A. 11. 11 and now 10. 6 advance, what we will say is that we will and O. Now, according to answers that the 7 have produced any and all W-2s for the years 8 defendants provided to questions that your 8 requested. 9 attorney asked, which was signed by 9 MR. MAHONEY: Right. But the 10 Mr. McGaffegan in January of '05, he indicated request also asks for the tax returns that you were placed back in Gang 11 on August redacted. Until I get a formal response on 12 . 6, 2003. that issue, I'm going to suspend. I'm going to 13 Do you have any reason to disbelieve 13 exhaust every other line of questioning that I 14 that that is accurate? Do you think that is 14 can today. not true, in other words? 15 MR. LATHROP: Sure. 16 A. August 6, '03? 16 BY MR. MAHONEY: 17 Q. Yup. 17 Q. Let's go to 2002, then, sir. 18 A. I would say that's correct, yeah. I 18 In 2002 you earned \$76,362 on one W-2 19 guess. 19 from Clark, is that right? .20 Q. In 2000, 2001, and 2002, you were 20 A. Yes. getting income from Clark and income from the 21 Q. And then you also earned \$6,444.55 on 22 union, is that right, when you were placed out? a second W-2 from Clark; is that right? 22 23 A. Yes. 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Let's go to 2003. You have a copy of 24 Q. Why did you get two W-2s that year Page 51 Page 53 Exhibit 1 in front of you, right? 1 from Clark? Is the 6,000 a bonus? 2 In 2003 you earned \$15,153 from Clark; A. No. The 6,444 was from the union 2 3 is that right? 3 hall. 4 A. Yes. 4 Q. You were dispatched to Clark and O. And you were paid \$19,773 from earned \$6,444? You were dispatched from the 6 unemployment; is that right? 6 union? 7 A. Yes. 7 A. Yeah. 8 Q. And you got 108.50 from Columbia Q. The \$76,000 you earned was not as a 9 Coastal; is that right? result of being dispatched by the union; is 9. A. Yes. 10 10 that right? 11 Q. So would it be fair to say that in 11 A. Correct. 12 2003, the only income that you received from Q. Additionally, in 2002, it looks like 12 having been placed out by the union was 13 13 you earned 16,005.19 from Coastal; is that 14. \$708.50; is that right? 14 right? 15 A. Having been placed out of the union 15 A. Yes. 16 hall? 16 O. That was also as a result of being 17 Q. Having been dispatched by the union 17 dispatched by the union hall? 18 hall. The money you got from Columbia Coastal, 18 A. Yes. 19 in other words. 19 Q. And then in 2002, it looks like you **2**0 A. I don't see another company down here earned \$1,853 from P&O Ports of New England? 20 21but I don't know. I guess, yeah. 2:1 A. Yes. 22 Q. That brings up a good point. 22 Q. Was that as a result of being 23 MR. MAHONEY: Until I get a 23 dispatched by the union hall?

24

A. Yes.

formal response on your -- to my request of